Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Counci) of California PLD-PI-001 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Attachment 3.

Legal Solutions

John B McCarthy, Jr.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death

(SIGNATURE

Page 3 of 3

ORNEY)

PLD-PI-001(1) SHORT TITLE: AMCO V SHARON LOGAN, ET AL CASE NUMBER: **CAUSE OF ACTION—Motor Vehicle FIRST** (number) ATTACHMENT TO X Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) Plaintiff (name): AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY MV-1. Plaintiff alleges the acts of defendants were negligent; the acts were the legal (proximate) cause of injuries and damages to plaintiff; the acts occurred on (date): June 2, 2009 at (place): Bushard Street, at or near intersection with Ellis Avenue, City of Fountain Valley, Orange County California MV-2. DEFENDANTS a. X The defendants who operated a motor vehicle are (names): SHARON LOGAN aka SHARON J LOGAN aka SHARON JEAN LOGAN to 25 X Does 1 b. X The defendants who employed the persons who operated a motor vehicle in the course of their employment are (names): X Does 1 to 25 c. X The defendants who owned the motor vehicle which was operated with their permission are (names): SHARON LOGAN aka SHARON J LOGAN aka SHARON JEAN LOGAN X Does 1 to 25 SHARON LOGAN aka SHARON J d. X The defendants who entrusted the motor vehicle are (names): LOGAN aka SHARON JEAN LOGAN X Does 1 to 25 e. X The defendants who were the agents and employees of the other defendants and acted within the scope of the agency were (names): X Does 1 The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and the reasons for the liability are listed in Attachment MV-2f as follows:

Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California PLD-PI-001(1) [Rev. January 1, 2007]

Does

CASE NO.	

ATTACHMENT TO COMPLAINT

PAGE 5

- At the times mentioned, AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY was and is an insurance company organized under law and licensed to engage in business as such in the State of California
- 2. At all times herein mentioned, David Lysengen and Claudia Lysengen, were insured by Plaintiff, AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY, to pay benefits for damage to insured property, namely the insured's vehicle.
- 3. By reason of the legal obligation imposed on Plaintiff, AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY, by said insurance policy, plaintiff was obligated to pay and did pay the sum not to exceed \$10,000.00, to or on behalf of its insured for property and related damages to insured's vehicle.
- 4. Pursuant to the terms of the policy and the laws of the State of California, AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY, is legally, equitably and contractually subrogated to the rights and entitled to enforce the remedies of David Lysengen and Claudia Lysengen in the amount not to exceed \$10,000.00, plus legal costs and pre-judgment interest at 7%.
- 5. Pursuant to the laws of the State of California, AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY is entitled to legal, equitable, and implied indemnity from the defendants and each of them in the amount not to exceed \$10,000.00, plus legal costs and prejudgment interest at 7%.